

that, but at least conceptually this tries to bring some level of balance. And I'd hope the body would accept the amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you. You've heard the closing. Question is the adoption of the Warner-McKenzie amendment. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays on adoption of the Warner-McKenzie amendment to the committee amendments.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The amendment is adopted. Anything further on the committee amendments?

CLERK: Nothing further on the committee amendments at this time, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: We are considering the adoption of the committee amendments. Any further discussion? Senator Warner, would you like to close on the committee amendments?

SENATOR WARNER. No, just briefly. There's been a great deal of discussion, so I would just summarize, I'm going to summarize from not necessarily the committee's viewpoint but at least from my own. It seems to me that as the bill now stands there's a defensible distribution from agriculture and from the state as a whole proposed here. The big issue that we were talking about between a half and three-quarters, from my viewpoint over the period of 6 years at least we were talking about \$11 million less check-off or 11 million more General Fund. It was my own feeling at least that that level not only might be jeopardized in future years, but I suspect it might even have a problem this year in order to add a couple million more a year, which it almost amounted to throughout the frame of time. So I would urge the body to adopt this amendment and then see if the bill can be advanced.

SPEAKER WITHEM: You've heard the closing. Question is the adoption of the committee amendments. All those in favor say aye...vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of committee amendments.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Committee amendments are adopted. Senator McKenzie, because absolutely nobody here has any idea what this