

you were visiting about, I can't follow in terms of what those language are, there be it...it's a hand-drawn amendment, I'm having trouble doing that. What I'd like to do, I have no problem, when you want to put that amendment...if there was a ton of amendments behind it where you wouldn't get a chance to discuss it, I'd never object in the world. You need the chance to have your amendment and a timely fashion to do that. My reason for the objection is that we've been debating that particular amendment, I've got the one right after it that if people choose that one, they may not look at the one that you do. If there was a lot of amendments down there, I'd never object. I'll bet I've objected once in seven years simply because I want the opportunity to be able to see what you were doing to do that and to come up. If there had been a long list, then it's not...I don't think it's fair if there is a long list and I'd have put you way at the end, that would have been a way of trying to hold you down or to cover you up and say you can't discuss it. I haven't called the question, I'm not asking people to do that. I'll answer any of the questions. Obviously, we're not trying to suppress that debate. If this lasts for a couple of days, as you say, we're here. That's fine. But I wanted to explain to you why that objection was made, not in an effort to not let you discuss that because there shouldn't be any motions to suspend, cease debates or any of those sorts of things that I think are out there so I, with that I'll gladly take a look at, I may not support it obviously, but you need the chance to have it up there and if you want the chance to come ahead of my amendment, fine. I'll give you...I've got the next amendment. If you want a chance to put in ahead of that, as long as I get the chance to look at it, I'll offer you to have my place in the amendment line. With that I want to stand up and at least again for the body, because I know a number of you have been in and out of here, I do have an objection to the Witek amendment. I would hope that you would not vote for it. I think that I have an amendment that would follow that will put the ease that this Conference of the States would not hold a constitutional convention for the purpose of calling it. I think that that should relieve those fears. I'm trying to...I'm listening to Senator Witek very carefully to see what we do agree upon because I suppose we both agree we don't want the Conference of the States being able to short-circuit the amendment process. By we sending them back, they're doing something that you and I don't have the chance to control or to have a say-so into it, that I'd agree with. Obviously if there is any constitutional change, those are going