

of any proposed changes to the Constitution. I think what she is looking at, and she can correct me if I'm wrong, formalized proposals. A proposed change would be where people might just say we don't think this is the best way that this particular aspect of state-federal relationships can be handled and they can discuss that and they can put in their agenda the discussion of the relationship that exists between the federal government and the state governments and how that might could better be presented. But there would be no formal proposals to change the Constitution created. To talk about changes that may need to be made is one thing, and that would be discussed anyway and could not be avoided...you couldn't avoid the discussion. But if I'm understanding Senator Witek correctly, I think in line 2, the action plan shall not include any, and maybe she should say formal proposals to amend the Constitution and then in line 5 it would again say, not include any formal proposals to amend the Constitution. And, Senator Witek, I'd like to ask you a question.

SENATOR WITEK: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you agree to substituting that for what you have?

SENATOR WITEK: Yes, it is much...much clearer this way then.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Or closer to what you have in mind.

SENATOR WITEK: It is. It's the actual proposals that I object to.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay then, I'm going to work with Senator Witek to draft it...is anybody else's light on?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Yes, there are Senator Abboud, Schimek and Witek's lights are on.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm going to give back my time and try to get this done. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers. Senator Abboud.

SENATOR ABOUD: Mr. President, colleagues, I guess I'll wait until after I...Senator Witek is going to be withdrawing the amendment or substituting it. I'll wait and see in what form