

to it. Now that Senator Abboud supports it, and I don't know that I heard any opposition, I am not going to extend my remarks on this, but I do feel that the amendment is appropriate and I intend to support it.

PREJIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Ah, yes, Senator Chambers, I think I'd point out to you that I believe you just endorsed the Kristensen amendment and not the Witek amendment. The language that you read from AM0723 is, in fact, the Kristensen amendment. The Witek amendment is AM0700, and there is a substantive difference between the two of those, so I want to point that out to begin with, because like Senator Abboud, I am supportive of the Kristensen amendment. I am not supportive of the Witek amendment. Senator Witek, I'd like to ask you a question about how your amendment might, in fact, be operative. Senator Witek.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senato: Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Senator Withem, I am discussing the marriage plans with Senator Kristensen and with Senator Chambers.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Okay, would you have time to respond, though, to your question on your amendment?

SENATOR WITEK: I would. Go ahead.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Let's assume I have before me the U. S. Constitution, Article X of the U. S. Constitution. The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution nor prohibited by it to the states are reserved to the states, respectively, or to the people. If the delegates to the Conference of the States were to conclude that one thing that needs to be done is language to strengthen Article X to strengthen the states' position, would your amendment prohibit any inclusion of a changed Article X, a strengthening of Article X to the United States Constitution within the action plan.

SENATOR WITEK: Yes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Okay, and that would be the reason why I would not support the Witek amendment, but I would support the Kristensen amendment. The Kristensen amendment makes it very