

body, not so much on 830 as on comments relative to reasoning rationale for support for 830. In Revenue Committee yesterday we had the occasion to have explained to us rather thoroughly and clearly the tax policy of the major statewide organization who is promoting this package of bills. This organization is comprised of businesses large and small across the State of Nebraska. But as I understood the presentation, and this is important when we talk about any potential of changing the tax policy in the State of Nebraska for the benefit of homeowners, that this organization, at least as the presentation was understandable to me, feels that property tax is not a problem in the State of Nebraska, but rather that the problem that our income tax rates are too high, that there needs to be tax policy revisions but those revisions ought to encompass income tax reduction and that property tax reductions ought to be taken care of by doing away with government in the lesser populated areas of Nebraska because after all those people do have the opportunity to move to the urban centers. It's an understandable policy when you consider two separate situations in business, those philosophies that are inherent within employees at whatever level of investor-owned companies and people who own their own business. And the people who own their own business, property tax is as important to them as any homeowner because any increase in that comes off of their bottom line. But I would suggest to you that there is not a connection between this and any potential rewrite of our tax policy. Having said that, I would like to Senator Warner the balance of my time.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Warner, you have about two and a half minutes.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, my remarks will be short. I hadn't intended to say anything this morning but I was supporting this package up until last night because I truly thought that there was a fact that a state could recapture the investment they were making in the job in the sense if you want to look at it that way and that policy was right. The numbers don't work. Then I went and I looked this morning how I voted, I thought I knew probably how I did for tax-incremental financing constitutional amendment and I used the good judgment to vote no and I looked how I voted to implement it and again I used the good judgment of voting no. As a matter of policy it just doesn't set with me, has nothing to do with the results. Absolutely, there isn't any question about it. If I could pay