

PRESIDENT ROBAK: There's been a request for a call of the house. All those in favor vote aye; those opposed vote nay, and a roll call vote. Please record.

CLERK: 15 ayes, 0 nays to go under call.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The house is under call. Will senators please return to your seats. Will all unauthorized personnel please leave the floor. The house is under call. Senators, please check in. Senators, please check in. Senator Beutler, will you check in, please. Senator Chambers, will you check in, please. Senator Bohlke, Senator Cudaback, Senator Matzke, Senator Robinson. Senator Avery, will you check in, please. Senator Warner. Senator Matzke and Senator Witek. Waiting for Senators Matzke and Warner. There's been a request for a roll call vote. Please proceed, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 864-65 of the Legislative Journal.) 17 ayes, 20 nays, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The amendment fails. I raise the call.

CLERK: The next amendment I have is by Senator Warner and Will. (Warner-Will amendment, FA68, appears on page 865 of the Legislative Journal.)

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Madam President, I'm not going to spend much time on this, but simply what it does it strikes from the bill any reference to cost-benefit. A number of things were said that I would not agree with. It was not double-dipping. The only reason I stayed with 829 was because of this provision that was in the bill to start with, it was not amended. By the way, the only impact I believe is that the sales tax refund, under 775, that a company would get back won't be counted as a loss. Apparently that's what was needed to make the project feasible. If you got a formula that doesn't mean anything then take it out, it's misleading. The public has been told time and time and time again over the last few years that tax incentives eventually break even. Apparently, that's not the case here. If that's not the case, then we may as well take it out. It can be justified for a variety of reasons or will be, but at least when you go home to explain your vote you won't be explaining it that it was on a cost-benefit ratio that is flawed, so then take