

February 23, 1995 LB 829

are concerned about it. I have a quote here in the paper from Provo, Utah. When the people from Micron Industries went to Pason, Utah to sell this program to them, Kip Benard (phonetic), Steve Stout (phonetic), Barney Jurica (phonetic) fielded numerous questions regarding potential income, plant safety, hazardous materials, water issues and semiconductor plant accidents from an excited, yet slightly worried audience of over 200 people. And it goes on to say that according to Stout (phonetic), plant manager of operations for Micron's Boise-based semiconductor operation, quote, Micron has never had an accident which has left the plant or released into the community surrounding the plant in Boise. That should provide us with some assurances. Because of their track record the federal Environmental Protection Agency, in Seattle, has lauded the containment capabilities of Micron and, if you'll get this, has granted the company the special right to have a hazardous materials team on site at all times. Now the EPA doesn't grant rights to have teams on place at all times. The EPA says, you will be there and you will monitor this site, that's how important this is. I hope that you will see the importance of the amendment and adopt it. I thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Senator Withem. Senator Withem, to speak to the Dierks amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Dierks, first of all, I genuinely would like to understand the amendment and what it is we're speaking of. But first of all, this is inserted into the provision related to the cost-benefit analysis, is that correct?

SENATOR DIERKS: Item 2 on page 10.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Okay. I think that...just to inform the body that I think this discussion we're going to have on this amendment may, in fact, become academic because I am...I'm often times wrong about the mood of the body, but I sense that after the adoption of that last amendment that this whole section may not be terribly long...long-lived anyway, so we may be inserting this amendment simply to see the whole thing removed, that's point number one. Point number two, I'm curious if you can tell me, what would be the Department of Environmental Quality administration and issuance of necessary licenses, what can we anticipate for the cost of that? Are we talking about a few thousand dollars, hundreds of thousands of dollars, hundreds of dollars? What would we be talking about dollarwise?