

February 23, 1995 LB 829

revenue we would not have had otherwise, except for this legislation, then you can only count it once as either a cost or a benefit, not both. And that's simply the reason why you should vote to adopt this amendment. I would urge your support for it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Will. Senator Hall, your light is next, followed by Senators Withem and Wesely.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members. Again, I rise in opposition to the amendment. The proposal...and much of the discussion center around the issue of the cost-benefit analysis and whether or not it's an appropriate measure for programs like this, because the argument is that there would be no costs because if it weren't for the program there would be no company. And that begs the question, because the reason you establish the program is to attract the company. You invite them because of the incentives. But at the same time that you create the incentives, in order to be responsible, to be prudent, to be a fiduciary member of this body to protect those public coffers, we say, okay, we will make the investment. But we need to know at what point in time it's going to pay off, at what point down the road does the investment that we have made to create the jobs, to bring the people here flatten out on that curve? That's the reason for the cost-benefit analysis. I mean, Senator Warner is absolutely right, if you adopt this amendment, take the balance of the proposal out of the bill, because I mean it's a sham otherwise, I don't support that, I don't support the adoption of this amendment. I think it's appropriate to have a cost-benefit analysis. Ask the companies that would apply for this if they would make these kinds of investments without doing a cost-benefit analysis. We're constantly hearing in government how we need to be more like business. This is the one aspect of the bill where we're being a lot like business and we're going to strip it from the proposal. We're going to say we don't care what it costs, that's in effect what we are doing. We're not interested in the return of the base, not interest on the base, just the base. We don't care, we are willing to basically stick the money out there, give the tax incentives, give the breaks and the other opportunities and not ask for purposes of the applications whether or not they're ever going to pay off. The issue, with regard to where Section 4 was mentioned, and it talks about what the board shall do, read the language, it says, the board shall determine whether to approve the company's application by