

if we can do that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why do you think we should clean up anything?

SENATOR LINDSAY: I'm...I was responding to your question, if it needs to be cleaned up.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, no, but if it needs to be done, why should we even do that?

SENATOR LINDSAY: Because I don't think that any of us like to have statutes out there that are...that are imperfect if we can...if we do have the opportunity to make them as near perfect as we can.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think one of the reasons we cannot make LB 830 as close to perfect as we would be able to is because those who are pushing it outside of this body have dictated that there's a schedule which the Legislature must meet, and therefore there cannot be questions, there cannot be adequate discussion?

SENATOR LINDSAY: I think there's a combination of factors. I think that would be a portion of it, but I don't think it would be the sole factor.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think the only purpose for having continued discussion of a bill is if you have amendments to offer to try to change it? Do you think that's the only legitimate purpose for continuing to discuss a bill?

SENATOR LINDSAY: You mean as opposed to discussing the...the...the language that exists in the bill without amendments?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Oh, no, I think that that's a legitimate form of discussion.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think there are legitimate questions that could be asked about terms in the bill, such as in 830, where it says a judge, in one of these hearings for bond validation, would not automatically be disqualified because he or she owns property in the city or county which is involved in