

difference in my mind at the end of the session a time frame crunch, or in the middle of a session a time frame crunch, or in the beginning of the session of a time frame crunch, but when there is that crunch, there are going to be such proposals and such motions as suspend the rules and/or cloture. One of the things that has bothered me, again just putting a couple of observations together on the bill itself. I've always wondered why, and I voted against 830 the first time around, but I've always wondered why we want necessarily to get it to Final, when we get it to Final Reading, why we want to vote on it on Final Reading. I've always wondered and, in fact, I'm considering at this point of when these bills do get to Final Reading of offering a bracket motion not to the end of the session, but maybe for a couple weeks or a month. I'd like to know, okay, you send a message to Micron, you say, lock, we've got it on a fast track, it's on Final Reading, they suspended the rules, they did cloture. Here we are on Final Reading, they're ready to pass it, there's 37 votes there for it, what are you going to do, Micron? And if they say we'll pick Nebraska, great. We've got the bill that you're going to like. But if they say, and we may at that point want to make some changes because now we know we're in business and we're going to make sure, have to make sure things are proper, live by the agreement, but if they say no, I wonder how members of the body will feel if they've rushed it, passed it, got it on Final Reading, actually passed it into law and it didn't happen. Would there have been changes we would have like to make? I don't know. Do I think it needs to be on Final Reading, the package? Yes. Do I think it needs to be on Final Reading on the deadline? Yes. I'm not so sure we actually have to vote on it at that point. A couple other comments I'd like to make on debate. If we would have been in normal debate circumstances, going from nine o'clock in the morning to noon and we'd have spent thirteen and a half hours debate on this bill, I'm not sure but we'd have been somewhere around four, five to six days on this bill and people would be saying we've spent enough time on this bill, let's move on, we've got other things to do. So it's all sometimes a matter of perception. It has been a time crunch because we put it all at the same time, but if we would have done it in the regular manner, it would have still been the same number of hours, just over a different number of days.

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: So it's not that much different. I