

thrust of the bill, they have not taken away from the impact of the bill. They have made this bill better. And I think, frankly, as we're moving so quickly through it again, there hasn't been a whole lot of time for us, though it seems like a lot of time, to really carefully review and thoughtfully think about what we're looking at here. This is no small issue, it's a big issue and certainly there is a time line, there is a concern about whether we will block the Microns, that's not what I'm interested in. I want to make sure that when the bill passes it is in as good a shape as it can be and I may ultimately not have any chance other than to vote against it because of the feelings I have about the overall situation. But I still want to constructively add to making the bill as good as it can be and I think that's what we're hearing. If you've been seeing 25 amendments that simply aren't there to do anything positive, then you have every right to shut off the debate, but I think if you'll look and you think about what we've spent our time on here, the amendments have been good amendments, they have improved the bill and there are other ideas that might be forthcoming if we had more than just 24 hours to think about it, but we haven't and I think moving this fast is a mistake and maybe we can catch some of those mistakes in the bill if we give it a little time and a night's rest. With that, I do oppose the motion to suspend the rules.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Will.

SENATOR WILL: Thank you, Madam President, I would yield my time to Senator Withem.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senatof Withem.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Madam President, members of the body, Senator Wesely, you made a good argument. You didn't convince me because I had already made up my mind what I was going to do before you spoke, but you made a good argument anyway. If there are more constructive amendments to this bill, they probably do need to be considered. In all due respect to the two...there are two amendments posted up there, both of those were drafted on note pads, they were...and one of them strikas a section of the bill that is simply a paragraph that was carried over from the old tax-increment financing bill. The other one I'm not really sure what it does. Maybe both of those are good amendments, maybe they're not. Maybe there are better ideas out there. It's just my view that there comes a time when you need