

February 22, 1995 LB 830

has a year to complete the action. If that's what it says, I don't have an objection, but I sure didn't get that feel when I first read it, so...but I guess the words could be interpreted that way and I trust they will be when it comes time for it to happen.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any further discussion of the Withem amendment? Seeing none, Senator Withem, do you wish to...excuse me, Senator Beutler.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Was I recognized to close?

PRESIDENT ROBAK: I'm sorry, Senator Beutler pushed his light. If you would like to, Senator Beutler, speak.

SPEAKER WITHEM: I was simply going to...Senator Beutler and Senator Hartnett my closing time if that would suit Senator Beutler's needs.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Then we are in closing and, Senator Beutler, if you will close.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I just wanted to indicate once again with regard to the utility provision that this has been agreed upon by the rurals and the municipals. It does, I honestly believe, and the language I think is adequate to express the concept that we are returning to current law, that the municipality at the time that it takes control of the annexed area must pay the price in accordance with the current statute using values at that particular point in time. So if it's five or six years later that it finally gets out to the noncontiguous project area, then it must pay the price, the value at that particular point in time. So I think that...I'm sorry that I'm not explaining it more clearly, but I think, Jerry, that it does operate exactly the way you believe it should operate in principle. Other than that, I hope you will take note that there was no objection to any of these particular amendments on their merits. I hope you will find them meritorious. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. The question before the body is the adoption of the Withem amendment to LB 830. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Please record.