

February 22, 1995 LB 830

SENATOR BEUTLER: On the amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, now with that in mind this is saying that if there is a city...well, look at it like a skillet. The main part of the skillet is the city. Eliminate the handle and you've got a little circle out here from the skillet that would be the noncontiguous territory, then the city would add the handle by annexing the territory between the skillet and that little piece of noncontiguous territory.

SENATOR BEUTLER: That's right, eventually I suppose, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I mean is that what this says would be done?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Now to whom could this land between the part to be annexed and the city belong, if it is noncontiguous? It could belong to anybody?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Sure.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So it's possible...

SENATOR BEUTLER: You're talking about just...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: (Interruption) ...area.

SENATOR BEUTLER: You're talking about the ownership of the property.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Fee simple title.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. There could be a designated project area which is noncontiguous and the city leapfrogs over territory to annex that noncontiguous piece of property or territory.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Right.