

February 22, 1995 LB 830

and pasture land and really and truly feel that it's constitutional to consider that blighted and substandard no matter what this section says? And I say the courts would find it very hard to reach a conclusion that says that this is, in fact, meeting a constitutional test...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR WESELY: ...and the intent of the voters when they adopted this constitutional amendment.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wesely. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Thank you, Madam President, I saw that Senator Chambers was taking lessons from Senator Withem on diagramming. I doubt that the result was very instructive because I can't imagine how you can actually read the provision that I'm attempting to strike and make any grammatical sense out of it. I think you have to use good faith and intuition and attempt to determine what the author intended. And regardless of whether you can read it to mean what the author arguably intended, I think that it is appropriate again for us to consider whether it's appropriate to try to restrict the courts in this fashion. I will reiterate that I think it is absolutely futile to do it. Aside from being confusing and impossible to read, I think it will be easily disregarded and I see no reason for us to attempt to override what I think are the legitimate prerogatives of the court. One of the things that Senator Withem, I thought he said, and I don't think he meant to say it, but what I thought he said was that if an application came in and this board approved of it, that somehow approval by the board constituted legislative approval. Well, I don't think that's true because we wouldn't have had any basis for determining whether or not the application was appropriate or not. Those three individuals that would have reviewed the application would have made a judgment independent from the judgment of this Legislature and I don't see it that way at all. I do see this as an attempt to restrict review by the court and inappropriately restrict review by the court. I believe that the issue of whether or not the application is proper, whether or not the area is indeed substandard and blighted, whether or not all of the other procedural items that have been addressed in Senator Coordsen's amendment and others have been met is appropriate for review. I think that they will be reviewed, or