

February 22, 1995 LB 830

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Have you read this language?

SPEAKER WITHEM: I have, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Can you pick out the subject?

SPEAKER WITHEM: It would be area, the word area is the subject.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And what's the predicate?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Is.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Area is.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Is considered, excuse me, is considered would be the full predicate.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, and now what is, what follows is considered, the words? As determined by law to be a designated blighted and substandard area, would you agree?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Those words do follow considered, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So the word area is mod...which is the subject, is modified by area again in this following clause?

SPEAKER WITHEM: Okay, I'm having a little trouble following that but go ahead with your next point.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Or "clauseology". All I'm trying to indicate is that this is not even good syntax. There is no coherence about it and it is not a good statement of what the Legislature's position is on this. And I'm sure, and I'm not trying to be patronizing, but...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I'll bet if you undertook to write a statement, and I don't know what they're saying here, but you must know, I bet you could write a clearer statement of what they're trying to say than what is here because I can't make head or tail of it. I have an inkling of what they're trying to say because of what the bill is trying to do. But when we're off the mike, just read it and see if it makes sense to you.