

February 22, 1995 LB 830

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, sir; yes, sir.

SENATOR HALL: That was the figure you used.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HALL: How much of that is going to be investment for private enterprise?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: All of it.

SENATOR HALL: All of it. You talked about 490 million dollars worth of buildings, correct? Is that the figure you used?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HALL: And how much of that is going to be for private enterprise?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: All of it.

SENATOR HALL: You talked about 800 million dollars worth of equipment, I think that was the figure you used, correct?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, sir.

SENATOR HALL: And how much of that is going to be for private enterprise?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: All of it.

SENATOR HALL: And you say that we must be reasonable or the individuals who...thank you, I got what I wanted. You say that the individual who is out there who has property who would be subject to eminent domain is somehow unfair, greedy, selfish and is holding back all this public good, even though the sum of all the totals that you give, in terms of the capital investment, the building expenses and the equipment expenses are for private enterprise. Each and every dollar that is going to be spent is going to be for a private enterprise, not for a public good, maybe arguably a public interest. But Senator Chambers clearly pointed out the distinction there, as did the court in the Beatrice case. There is a tremendous difference between interests and public use or public good, and in this case we are saying that every one of us, in the way that the bill is