

February 22, 1995 LB 830

SENATOR CROSBY: One minute.

SENATOR WESELY: ...is, in fact, my intent and I agree with you.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Senator Crosby.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Janssen on the Wesely amendment.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Madam President, members, thank you, Senator Wesely, for bringing this back to us again in this form. I haven't spoke on any of these bills yet but I have supported them, and up to date anyway, because of the spin-off effect that I will feel in my district, beings as close to the metropolitan area of Omaha. I have, and I have done that because of the constituents who can benefit by this, not only, not only the urban constituents, but also the suburban, the fellows that, and ladies and gentlemen and mall farmers, they've got a few acres out there that they can't make a living on, and they need an auxiliary job of some kind, and this will benefit them also, and it makes me a lot easier...it makes it a lot easier for me to go back and address my rural people who have concerns, as Senator Schrock had mentioned, about the effects of 775 on the rural community, and the bitter taste that it did put in a lot of them's mouth. This way, we will be able to come back and address that situation in the year 2000, and see what kind of an effect it really has had on the communities. Hopefully it is for the best, and I am anticipating it will be, especially in my area. Of course, I am not only looking...try not to only look out for my area alone, but for the concerns of the state, as a whole, and I thank Senator Wesely again for this opportunity to address the issue. Thank you.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Janssen. Any further discussion on the Wesely amendment? Seeing none, Senator Wesely, would you like to close?

SENATOR WESELY: Okay, let me tel' you what is going on is that I think we are all in agreement on the need for a sunset and essentially how the sunset would be handled in the sense that projects approved prior to the sunset would continue. The question is simply how to word it. The wording that we have here is a redraft from the earlier version of yesterday and talks about the termination of the act on February 1, year 2000,