

to put in.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes, I would yield part of my time to Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Kristensen.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Madam President and members, and thank you, Senator Coordsen. I assume that what we want to do, to finish my thought, was that you will be able to ascertain who those landowners are at the time that the application was filed.

SENATOR COORDSEN: That's right.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Because within five days of that, you've got to mail that notice and that's a fairly tight time frame. That's going to require them to do a considerable amount of work in a reasonably quick manner. In fact, that may be something they are going to have to do ahead of time, but as of the day of the application I assume is when they go up to the register of deed's office or the county clerk's office and determine who the landowners are, and as of that date of the filing of the application is who you'd send that notice to, would that be your...?

SENATOR COORDSEN: That is my interpretation.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay. With that, I would yield back the rest of your time. I do think that this certainly improves the notice provision and makes it less of a trap for the wary that we talked about earlier in this debate. With that, I will support the Coordsen amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. To expand just a little bit on that discussion, I have had, and this doesn't satisfy all of the concerns I have with the process in 830, but one of the glaring deficiencies was that there was no provision for any kind of notice, and there was no provision for a thought process that would confine a blighted and substandard and underutilized area to a relatively small geographical section. It was my feeling that under the original language in LB 830 that a city and a county could get together, I would