

SENATOR AVERY: No, I am not against the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then you are in favor of the bill authorizing these, the city or the entity, to condemn private property and take it from one private owner and give it to another private enterprise. That is your philosophy, right?

SENATOR AVERY: No, because I don't think, in actuality, that's not going to happen.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Then how are you going to get this land? If the landowner does not want to sell it, how are you going to get it?

SENATOR AVERY: There is processes that you offer them dollars before eminent domain is used.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And they refuse the dollars because they want to stay right here, I bought this house, and you know I am boss, and there ain't no city going to run me off, yackety-yack; that's a song. If that is the attitude, how are you going to get that property from that person?

SENATOR AVERY: Well, they may have to go to a different site.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And how will you get the property...you will condemn it, won't you?

SENATOR AVERY: Well, sometimes it has to be condemned.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So now let's ask you the question, since you do understand what the bill does, you are in favor of taking property from one private landowner and giving it to another through the power of the state. That is what you are for, right?

SENATOR AVERY: Not necessarily.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you support this bill?

SENATOR AVERY: I support most of the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you believe a thing can be and not be at the same time?