

fine. If we don't have the votes, maybe that will just be interpreted as the Legislature's unwillingness to address these issues. That might be a good... a good situation to be in, where we can ultimately reach a resolution. But I would stand at this point to defend the process, that people have had a fair opportunity to have their concerns voiced. We've even had a fair opportunity to listen to people off the floor, who think other issues ought to be considered, and I don't think we will do that with this bill. I don't want to do that with this bill, but we certainly do have other issues this session that do need to be addressed, and, hopefully, if we can address this issue...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SPEAKER WITHEM: ...in a positive fashion that we will be addressing those others also.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. Is there any further discussion of the Hall amendment? Seeing none, Senator Hall, do you wish to close?

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, members. Yes, I do. Senator Withem, with all due respect, I not have once spoke to the issue of these issues being ramrodded through. I totally respect your ability to set the agenda, deal with the process, invoke cloture at 8:47, do whatever you need to do to see that these proposals are taken care of in the fashion that you purport they invoke the extraordinary situation that we are in. I take exception to the argument that somehow Micron is only looking at Nebraska because of 830. I don't buy the argument. Somehow we are led to believe with that position that 830 is the balance in the scale that tips it to our side. And I would say that that does not carry water, that is not the case. Sitting here at 8:14 in February doesn't bother me one bit, coming in at 8:30 tomorrow morning, coming in on Saturday is fine. I will be here. We need to discuss those policy issues and I am perfectly willing to discuss those policy issues. But, Senator Withem, you know as well as I do, that the language in the intent section is garbage. And outside of your first comments on the issue, you really haven't spoke to them. You've said that you'd be willing to look at them, that maybe there is another way to say it, but you know as well as I do that it is bad legislation. Section 2 is one of the worst pieces of legislation, in terms of the intent language and the way it is written, that we have ever had on the floor. That is not a reflection on you, Ron, and you