

are going to invest something back in the community that has invested something in us. That's what section (11) says. It goes on to say that nobody who is currently here would stay here unless they first looked outside the borders for some place to go. That just flat out is not true. Look at section (12). It says various states are continuing to enhance their business climate through the enactment of competitive economic growth programs and initiatives. That's a bold statement. I mean, what does that say? It says the obvious. Why do we need section (12) in the bill? It doesn't say anything we haven't read in any magazine, any newspaper, that we haven't seen in any legislative material that we receive on economic development. It adds nothing to the bill but it tends to try to bolster what follow. Section 2 in LB 830. Section (13) says...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR HALL: ...in order to meet the needs of today's and tomorrow's economy, not only today's, but tomorrow's economy, businesses are continually seeking to locate in those states which have a competitive business climate and which can help provide the necessary resources and available skilled labor force. So in other words, if you read section (13), in and of itself, all businesses do is look at the opportunity to relocate because they are constantly trying to seek the best that they can provide with regard to today's and tomorrow's economy. In other words, we think that these businesses, in order to provide for today's and tomorrow's economy, are doing this. In other words, they're not interested in the bottom line, they're not interested in...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...providing jobs...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Withem, your light is next.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Members of the body, I stand to comment on the Hall amendments and on the string of Hall amendments as opposed to the...and probably we'll all be debating each one of those individually. At this point, I am not going to vote simply to delete the language and I will explain why I will not simply vote to delete the language. I think what Senator Hall is doing by offering these amendments though is kind of bringing out in