

action at all, it is a notice. In our...in our, for an example, under our current tax increment financing there is, in 18-2115, plan for public hearing and a notice that...in this particular case it's a public hearing and a notice in the newspaper, but the notice shall generally identify the area to be redeveloped under the plan. And, of course, you recognize that we're talking about a much more specific area in the case of our current law and that is the city within or the area within the city limits. And so we do provide that this...that provision for any kind of notice to affected landowners, property owners of record, or whatever the proper legal term might be, is not provided for in any preliminary planning and that is what this section of 830 is talking about, is the proposed areas to be designated. Senator Beutler, we had a conversation that we didn't quite get finished by time. Public entities, whether they're owner, or park or whatever, is taken care of in the original language of the bill, I believe. Okay, so we're all right. Senator Chambers, not a question so much but a comment on we poor, unsuspecting country folk that are so often taken by the city slicker, as it were, to use your term, reminds me of a neighbor of mine that had a fine, fine race horse and it was about three years old and he had had a city slicker that always wanted to buy this horse because he knew, with proper training, it would do really a great thing. And the city slicker almost weekly would show up at the farm wanting to buy the horse and finally one day the farmer said, what was it you wanted to pay for the horse? He said I'll pay \$10,000 for that horse. The farmer said, all right, you wore me down, it's down in the barn in the stall, go get it. Two and a half minutes later the city slicker came tearing back up to the farmer and said, I'm not going to buy that horse. He said, it's "daid". The farmer said, you didn't ask me that, you only wanted to buy the horse. So we're always being taken advantage of. I do think, however, that this amendment is appropriate public policy. If there is better legal language, that I've got to leave to someone else. I think we ought to adopt the Bromm amendment to my amendment and then attach the amendment, come back in this afternoon, this evening, if there needs to be adjustments made in the phrasing and I would support whatever those efforts might be if it's explained to me the problems with legal definitions within this particular amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR HALL PRESIDING

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Chambers,