

this. Again, I don't know that this is one of those ones that makes the big difference of whether the act works or not. But I...if the sponsors of the bill are acceptable to that, who are we to stand in their way, and I could support that as well, as long as I understand it and you've put that on the record about how that will occur. Then the other one is if the board...could you help me with that, is that on line 18, your other change?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If we adopt...if we put in the word "may" then this language, which currently reads, "such designation shall remain", it would say, "such designation if approved", that's all,...

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: .. then it's making it clear that they may not approve it.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: It's the same concept and not any different.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Okay. That's fine. I don't have a problem with that, I don't think that that's a major problem and also could vote for doing something like that. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Senator Chambers, there are no other rights, would you care to close on your amendment?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes. And, Mr. President, I want some things to be as crystal clear in the record as I can make them, so here is what I am maintaining. There is a very broad definition of blighted and substandard, I went through it earlier, and whether it exists in present law or not is of no moment. The fact is that if an application is made by a city to have an area declared blighted and substandard and can fit that area under any one of the elements of this definition, any one or combination, any one or combination, then the board must approve