

is this actively recruiting? But it would be difficult to conceive of every possible thing that that could entail and list it in the statute, because if we did not list something and you have a shaky company, they could find a way around any list that we would put in the statute. By us making it a matter of rules and regs, if we thought the Labor Department was being unreasonable, we could talk to them and they could make a change tomorrow or however quickly they can make a change. But if we put it in the statute we can only change it by offering another piece of legislation.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. No further comments.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Bromm, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, and I appreciate Senator Schrock's questions and from the discussions we had in working on this amendment, we anticipate and we expect that there will be some common sense applied in drafting the regulations and the application of this bill, and that goes to Senator Maurstad's amendment as well. If there are regulations drawn which result in harassment of companies where there is no reasonable cause to believe that there is a problem but they get their records checked every other week or something, I don't know about Senator Chambers or anybody else, but I know I'm certainly going to be asking a lot of questions about the application of the regs and how they are being drafted if that should happen. That is not the intent and the intent is that the common sense regulations that get at the problems we're trying to deal with be drawn and applied fairly to anyone who is applicable to the act and that's all there is to it, nothing more, nothing less. So that's what we expect. Now I may not speak on this again unless some problems develop, but I want to say that Senator Maurstad, rather Senator Chambers I meant to say and Senator Matzke, and I would have to say the Speaker, Senator Withem put together a lot of work on this thing and spent some time, but I would say but for Senator Withem encouraging us to work together and try to get something done this might not have happened. And it wasn't without difficulty. There were times when Senator Chambers was talking about things about his manhood and so forth that I don't know that I understand but his voice raised to a high level and so we had some good discussions, but out of that I think came a bill which is well worth the effort and which we