

February 15, 1995 LB 20

yield to a question.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: On page 2 of the amendment at the tail end of line 1 and for the balance of lines 2 and 3, do you see that as broadening the terminology preceding it or tightening up the description preceding that portion of the sentence?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now that I have the amendment before me, would you ask me the question again and call my attention to where you are?

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Sure, on page 2...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: ...tail end of line 1 where after the word "English" where it says "to degree necessary for comprehension of the terms", do you see that as constricting the previous language in that sentence or broadening it?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It's a definition, so I'm really not sure exactly what you're asking me.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Well maybe I could phrase it better. A non-English speaking employee means an employee who does not speak, read or understand English.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Now you theoretically could put a period at that point, but we go on to continue the description of a non-English speaking employee, and what I'm trying to get in my mind is whether that language that continues from that point if you feel as though that broadens the definition or whether it narrows the definition.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Can I tell you what is meant?

SENATOR MAURSTAD: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: See a person might speak what is called