

February 13, 1995 LB 121

that Senator Schimek offers is one that I think would be very difficult to do. I don't know that it would be something, but it is something that I would go along with in the spirit of compromise. I have some information here by the American Cancer Society that asks the question, don't the ventilating standards proposed by the American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air Conditioning Engineers control tobacco smoke in buildings? And the answer is no. Ash ray ventilation standards are not health-based standards designed to limit cancer risk or eye irritation to acceptable levels. They are designed only to limit dissatisfaction with tobacco smoke odor at a maximum of 20 percent for visitors, both mixed smokers and nonsmokers, to a building where smoking occurs and currently those standards recommend 20 cubic feet of outdoor ventilation air per occupant for this purpose. It's highly unlikely that we would be able to provide that. I also recognize that unfortunately tobacco smoke can't read. Senator Witek points out that the separate areas, designated areas work very well have in the past. I would submit to you that they only work well for those people who smoke. Since the smoke doesn't read it can't see that that's the only place it's supposed to stay. The smoke doesn't know that that's a designated smoking area, therefore, smoke should only stay in that area. Unfortunately we haven't educated our tobacco smoke yet and so tobacco smoke goes everywhere like all smoke does, is circulated through the building in the heating-ventilating system and it is circulated through our lungs and those of us who prefer not to have that circulate through our lungs and be filtered there are preferring to have a system that accommodates health. I can certainly appreciate Senator Hall's concern with ADA requirements and if you would look on your desk where I passed out a handout, it shows Joe Camel in his later years and certainly there will be people who will need to...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR PREISTER: ...have that kind of a facility available to them. I would conclude by saying just a comment on Senator Hall's interest in, and I appreciate and I agree that if we start amending out one area, then we have to do others, and if I don't finish I will discuss why we amended out some of the buildings that are amended out. But I will preliminarily say those are residences, those are places where people live that have been amended out and that it's also permissive language. We're not saying they have to be set up in a separate ventilated