

February 10, 1995 LB 222

SENATOR HALL: No, it says if the final judgment is not equal to...oh, you are saying if they are equal to, okay.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Yeah, I am saying if they are...if they get exactly the same thing that the final appraisal would have given them, then what is...

SENATOR HALL: Then I am right...

SENATOR PIRSCH: ...where the apportionment of the court costs.

SENATOR HALL: ...yeah, the first time. Oh, that is exactly what I said previously. It has got to be...we don't change the 15 percent factor up above.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay.

SENATOR HALL: See, and that if the 15 percent figure says that, look...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time, Senator Pirsch. Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I just wanted to call the body's attention to every single person should have gotten a letter from Michael Goldberg who testified in opposition to this bill from the Omaha City Attorney's Office and I would ask that you direct your attention to this letter and read his objections to this bill. The amendment does not change any of those objections to the bill, so these objections still stand and I would ask that you give some consideration, when you're thinking of passing this bill forward, to the objections of the Omaha Assistant Attorney. And I will give the remainder of my time...I guess I don't have to, she's over talking to Senator Hall. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Witek. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, to put this issue in terms as simple as I can think of that will maybe present the picture without distorting it, we're talking about a situation where a political subdivision...it might be the city, it might be a power company, it could even be the state, which is going to take a landowner's property. Maybe this has never happened to you, it may never have happened to