

completely as I think is necessary, but some questions may still remain and I will answer them. But before I sit down, I'm going to state as succinctly as I can what this amendment will do. Before doing that, I will say what happens in an appeal. If I file an appeal, whether it's of a traffic ticket, of a felony conviction against myself, or whatever, I am the one who must go forth first, put my papers in, file my brief, state the basis for my appeal and so forth. That's what this amendment would do. It would say that whether it's the...

SPEAKER WITHEM PRESIDING

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...condemnee who is losing his or her property, or the condemner who is taking the property, which ever one files the appeal is the one that has to go forth and get this process underway and present whatever evidence or facts are necessary to support that appeal. If you have any questions, I'll answer them.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Chambers, I did misspeak, you were opening and so...Senator Pirsch, for discussion of the Chambers amendment? Senator Hall, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Mr. President and members. I rise in support of Senator Chambers' amendment. As he pointed out, it was a component part of Senator Hudkins', I think it was LB 187, I believe...178 possibly. There were three bills that the committee heard, as she pointed out. The other one was Senator Coordsen's bill that he had brought in last year as well. It's similar in scope, and as I understand it from talking to counsel for the Judiciary Committee, there are two other bills on point in this same issue that we haven't yet heard because they came in after the scheduling date, so there's going to be a total of five bills to address this issue. Hopefully, with the adoption of the Chambers amendment, the committee amendments into 222, everyone's concerns will be taken care of. And the proposals will be unified in that form and out and over on Select File, if we finish the debate here this morning. But Senator Chambers' amendment is one that we talked about in Exec Board and decided not to take...excuse me, in Exec Committee of the Judiciary Committee, and we decided not to take it up. And after thinking about it, I think it was probably the right thing to put into the committee amendments. It's appropriately offered now as an