

to have my employees go out and sell in jeans and t-shirts,...

SENATOR BROMM: Um-huh.

SENATOR HALL: ...I'm going to have a policy that says you got to have a suit and tie on.

SENATOR BROMM: Um-huh, thank you. I need Miss Valdor (phonetic) here, who was my high school English teacher, and she was one of the best, even though she probably didn't do as well with me as she did with most.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: But to me, I read...it only makes sense to read it, conflict of interest policy designed to protect other proprietary interests. That's the only way it makes sense to me, the way the sentence is structured. And even though your intent might be otherwise, I think if the law is going to be interpreted the way you intend it, I think it would have to be changed, because as I read it and as I understand English, conflict of interest policy, then you got a preposition, to protect, then you've got the end of the propositional phrase, other proprietary interests. That's the only way I can read that. And so that gives me some concerns. I don't have much time but I'm going to say that I think we've historically protected constitutional interests based on the race, color, creed and that sort of thing when it comes to the workplace. This is a stretch. We are stretching into another area here, and I would caution the body to be extremely...

SENATOR CROSBY PRESIDING

SENATOR CROSBY: Time.

SENATOR BROMM: ...careful. Thank you, Madam President.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Robinson.

SENATOR ROBINSON: Madam President, members of the body, Senator Schimek said it is not the employers business on what their employees do on their off hours. Think about that. It is not the employers business what their employees do on their off hours. You go...ask some people that are employers and see how they feel about that. I think it's going to be a lawyers