

February 7, 1995 LB 158, 270

explanation, I hope it isn't too complicated. It's a relatively simple issue once you get by talking about words that are somewhat legal. But I would entertain any questions if anyone would have. With that, I'll close, Madam President. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK PRESIDING

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Is there any further discussion on LB 158? Seeing none, Senator Bromm, do you wish to close? Closing is waived. The question before the body is the advancement of LB 158. All those in favor vote aye; all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the advancement of 158.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 158 advances. LB 270.

CLERK: LB 270, a bill introduced by Senator Lindsay. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 10 of this year, referred to the Judiciary Committee, advanced to General File. I do have committee amendments pending, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Chair recognizes Senator Lindsay to open on the committee amendments.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President, members. LB 270 is a bill that was suggested to me by the Supreme Court. It's to provide two things, one is to provide a mechanism for transcribing a judgment to a county court. If you get a judgment in Douglas County, and the person against whom you have the judgment owns property in Lancaster County, rather than having all those filed in district court, it would provide a mechanism where you could transcribe that judgment to county court. The second thing it does is provides a fee to set what that fee would be if you're going to transcribe that judgment. The bill provided for a \$25 filing fee for transcribing a judgment from another county to a county court, or from outside the state to a county court. The committee amendments would change that fee from \$25, as originally introduced, to \$15, would reduce it to \$15 to make it more commensurate with what the filing fee for transcribing a judgment would be at the district court level. The other change the committee amendments do is to strike or to change the words "county or district", which were reversed, I guess, and makes it a little more clear