

exists now in the county. And what we would like to provide with this legislation is that when these documents are printed, of course, this space is provided so that this technology can be used now in at least one county and in the future, hopefully, in the others. The second thing it does, it would require that a beneficiary of a trust deed, appointing a successor trustee, would have to identify the full legal description of the realty affected in the substitution of trustee instrument filed with the Register of Deeds, as with other instruments recorded in the office. But what it simply does is that when we appoint that beneficiary successor trustee that we make sure that the proper...the proper documents are associated with the proper trustee's name. In some cases, that, unfortunately, hasn't happened. This would make sure that it has to. And, as you know, I supported the elimination of the 25-cent fee. It was unnecessary. People suggesting to introduce this bill had it in there so I introduced it as part of my obligation when I introduced the bill, but I agree that it is unnecessary cost to realtors and others and should have been taken out, and I supported that. So that's two things simply that it does and I would ask for your support for this legislation.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Any discussion of LB 288? Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Lynch, could you yield to a question, please.

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Lynch.

SENATOR LYNCH: I will yield, yes.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Lynch, I'm supporting the legislation. I had a question and it's something we might be able to deal with, if necessary, by Select File. But, in LB 288, you will notice the old law regarding substitution of trustee and the recommended form to be used. With the change that's being made, which I support, adding the full legal description of the realty that's affected by the substitution of trustee, it may be that we'll need...it appears to me anyway, maybe we should make a little change in that form that's at the end of that section. Is that anything that has been discussed or...?

SENATOR LYNCH: No, Senator Bromm, it really hasn't, but I would be more than willing if, with your understanding and, I'm sure,