

January 31, 1995 LB 20

knowing that the Legislature is in operation, and probably intentionally, in some of those cases, not wanting to see what we are doing here. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Witek. Further discussion? Senator Bromm, you are recognized to close.

SENATOR BROMM: Very briefly, Mr. Speaker. Just so we know what we are voting on, this reinstates the language in Section 5 that makes it a Class IV misdemeanor for any employer that violates this act. I would ask the body to support the amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Bromm. The question is the adoption of the Bromm amendment to the Matzke amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, on the adoption of Senator Bromm's amendment to Senator Chambers amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The Bromm amendment is adopted. Anything else on the Matzke amendment, Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Nothing further pending to Senator Matzke's amendment, Mr. President.

SPEAKER WITHEM: We are back to discuss the Matzke amendment. Any discussion? Senator Matzke, there is none. Do you have a closing on your amendment?

SENATOR MATZKE: Mr. Speaker and members, the Bromm amendment substantially changed my amendment. It is obvious it leaves in the criminal penalty, but it does, the amendment, as my amendment now stands does achieve what I mainly focused on, and that is to eliminate the possibility of administrators or bureaucrats serving as both the investigator, the prosecutor, the judge, the jury, and the sheriff to enforce fines. I think that that type of procedure should be eliminated from this bill, and I would ask that the amendment, my amendment as amended by Senator Bromm's amendment be passed.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Matzke. You have heard the closing. The question is the adoption of the Matzke amendment. All those in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Record, Mr. Clerk.