

January 26, 1995 LB 240

bit and something was set into place to alleviate some of the problems. But I, too, would like to see something in writing before I could...before I could vote for this one way or the other to see what...so that the costs aren't going to override the benefits we get back from it. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. There is a motion. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Madam President, Senator Hall would move to bracket the bill until February 8, 1995.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, and members, the motion to bracket is a friendly one, Senator Abboud, and I would hope that you would support it. It is not an attempt to scuttle the bill. I understand the need to receive those federal funds. You started out your comments this morning with the criteria that would most likely be adopted by the department. Why can't we get copies of those...of that criteria, incorporate them as an amendment in this bill between now and two weeks from now, and that's the date that I use, and then come back to the bill, it's still very, very early in the session, and deal with this matter. I think it allows us an opportunity to know just what people are going to be required to do, will be able to ask some questions of the department in terms of who is going to provide these types of reemployment services and, I mean, where the job assistance is going to come from. Who is going to pay for it, frankly? Is this something that is going to fall on the department? Is it something that's going to fall on the claimant? Is it something that we're going to move over onto business? Is it an additional cost that falls to them? I would like to see us take the time and effort to look at this. It's not a method at all or an attempt to delay the proposal. If you would rather just do an IPP motion and try to work something out between now and next week, that's fine with me. But...and if not, if you're going to oppose this, I'm not going to waste the body's time with it. I will just vote no and I'll bring an amendment back on Select File, or amendments. If we can get a rule book and I can find out what dilatory means, I will decide whether it will be one, two, three, or possibly more, but I would like a current one. But, in any case, the issue here for me is one of let's get some answers from the department instead of just passing pieces of legislation and then relying on folks