

no other obligation to seek out, find, mail, serve, notify the noncustodial parent and I just...I believe that was the intent of the committee amendment and I just want to make that clear.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Yes, you're absolutely right and there's also no, there's no need to, if you have an address at the district court clerk's office, you don't need to go running around trying to verify it. The idea is that is that the noncustodial parent is already required to furnish this information and we, I think at that point, the custodial parent is entitled to rely on that information provided by the noncustodial parent.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Okay, thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Any further discussion on the committee amendments? Seeing none, Senator Lindsay, do you wish to close? Closing is waived. The question before the body is the adoption of the committee amendments to LB 161. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Madam President, on the adoption of committee amendments.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The committee amendments are adopted. The Chair recognizes Senator McKenzie to open on LB 161.

SENATOR MCKENZIE: Thank you, Madam Chairman and members of the body, Senator Lindsay has done a very thorough job of explaining to you what LB 161 intends to do and I would just add there are really two reasons that I believe the legislation makes some sense. The first is that we're really dealing here with minors and in most instances with name change. This is about adoption or about a name change because of remarriage. The second is that the requirement for four weeks is really a hardship to the family looking to change name for a minor. It takes certainly more time and more expense in adoption proceedings than we feel is reasonable. With that I would just ask your support for the advancement of LB 161.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator McKenzie. Any further discussion on LB 161? Seeing none, Senator McKenzie, do you wish to close? Closing is waived. The question before the body is the advancement of LB 161. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Please record.