

from reading, not from having been there, the only extensive discussion we have of the issue of adultery was when Jesus was confronted by some men who dragged a woman to him and said this woman committed adultery. She was taken in the very act. Well, we all know it take two people to commit adultery, because even if you are an earthworm, then what you do is not called adultery, so adultery presupposes two people. Where was the man? Where was he? How can you have a crime which requires two participants but you only have one participant and want to say the crime was committed. So you know what Jesus just said? Let he, he didn't say, they, meaning women were in the group, let he that is without sin among you cast the first stone. I think what Jesus said is I have seen you guys, I have seen you tipping, I have seen you dipping, but I don't engage in keyhole peeking. I want the first man who knows he has done the same thing but may not know whether I have seen him or not, I want the first man who has not committed adultery to throw the stone, and then he wrote in the ground. Then he looked around and just like the fog before a white hot sun, they had evaporated, and nobody's there but this poor woman who was to be stoned to death. And he said, woman, where are those people who accused you? She said, I have no accusers. He said, then I don't either. What we are talking about here is conduct, and, Senator Crosby, I am not saying people cannot argue that it is shameful, that it is sinful, that if you do this you will go to hell, and all of those things, but if this will take somebody to hell, it is going to be awful lonesome upstairs with me and those few angels, awfully lonesome. (Laughter) And Senator Pirsch said, all males. And, Senator Pirsch, you know with that thought I am not sure if I'd want to be there in that kind of loneliness. But in any case, there are other allegations that can be made if divorce would return to fault, but I hope you will keep in mind that this is not a divorce issue. We should nc' keep ancient, unenforceable laws on the books on the possibility that a set of circumstances may arise where society will go back to the idea that if this kind of thing is done, then a person should go to the penitentiary for a year. In fact, the Supreme Court said on this kind of testimony, even though the man and the woman were together, even though the opportunity were there, even though they may have had the adulterous inclination, they said this is not enough to put somebody in the penitentiary. And remember this, you've got to have all of these elements. It is unenforceable. It is unreasonable, and it ought to be repealed. And, again, I will answer any questions that anybody asks me.