

that there is an appropriate public policy that is constitutional to put total prohibition on some areas of contribution, and I would urge that the body would at least advance the bill at this time. Obviously, some of you have reservations but I would hope you would advance the bill and give it an opportunity to reflect on it further as it goes across. Incidentally, at least those who represent these interests have not indicated any opposition to the bill. I don't know if that means anything one way or the other, but, to my knowledge, there is no one directly affected in terms of making contributions that see a problem with the concept.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Warner. The question before the body is the advancement of LB 28. All those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Please record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 4 nays, Madam President, on the advancement of LB 28.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: LB 28 advances. LB 22.

CLERK: LB 22 is a bill originally introduced by Senator Chambers. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 5 of this year, Madam President, referred to the Judiciary Committee. The bill was advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill at this time.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers to open on LB 22.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Madam President, members of the Legislature, because the green copy of the bill simply cites the statute which is being repealed, I have handed around a copy of my statement of intent so that you will see the actual text of the statute that is being repealed. This adultery statute is virtually a dead letter. I would say it is impossible to enforce, and there are a number of similar statutes to this which, over a period of time, I am going to bring in bills to repeal. Another one which I had wanted to add to this as an amendment, but I am not sure whether or not it would constitute two subjects in a bill, is Section 42-102 which says, no person who is afflicted with a venereal disease shall marry in this state. So there are a number of things on the books, which have been there for a thousand years and ought not to be there, and I