

I intend to do so to the fullest of my ability. And I deeply resent the fact that the first time I get up to try to engage in some dialogue on the floor that he has to talk about me wading into an area where I might not be tall enough to swim out of or get involved in some kind of a thicket that I might not be able to disengage myself from, but the fact is that doesn't have anything to do with this amendment, has nothing to do with good dialogue on the floor of this Legislature. And I hope that in the future he tries to keep those personal type comments about myself, my abilities, my intellectual capacity to a minimum. Thank you.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator. The Chair recognizes Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, and members, I rise in opposition to the amendment and I would do so if I could ask Senator Wesely a question.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Wesely, while you're going back to your microphone, my question is this. The purpose of Section 9, without the reference to subsection (1), which is basically what is being stricken, as I understand it, from the committee amendments. Is that correct?

SENATOR WESELY: Right. Right.

SENATOR HALL: How does the Section 9 change any by stripping this language?

SENATOR WESELY: Well, what it says is a specific reference that we shall be in compliance with the mandates of the ADA is gone.

SENATOR HALL: But, as Senator Chambers tried to point out, that mandate exists today.

SENATOR WESELY: Right.

SENATOR HALL: And by...I mean, are we being a tad intellectually dishonest by somehow taking this language out of the bill?

SENATOR WESELY: I don't think...I don't think it's a fact of