

January 18, 1995

somewhat complex. The question that was before the Chair last year when cloture was invoked and there was an amendment pending that had been divided, is the vote contemplated in the rules on the original question, as it was undivided? Or is it on the...only the divided portion? The current rule before you from the Rules Committee would have made it only on the single undivided portion. My change would clarify it in the direction of saying it's on the original undivided question. If you vote yes on this, then that will clarify it. If you vote no on this, then I would urge you to support the original Rules Committee recommendation. But I think that this is a better direction to go.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Members of the Legislature, we are voting on the Withem amendment to the proposed amendment 10. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record vote has been requested, Mr. Clerk. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: (Read the record vote. See page 330 of the Legislative Journal.) 31 ayes, 2 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of the amendment to the amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: The amendment to the amendment is adopted. Mr. Clerk, are there further amendments?

CLERK: Yes, sir, Mr. President, Senator Bernard-Stevens would move to amend.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator.

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Mr. Clerk, which amendment do we have before us, because I wanted to substitute the second one if I may.

CLERK: Senator, I have, because yours is the only one, I've got the corrected form in front of me, if you will, the one you just filed with me a moment ago. (The Bernard-Stevens amendment appears on page 331 of the Legislative Journal.)

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Thank you. Members of the body, when the other day we cleaned up the process where we vote on cloture first, parts of the existing rule stated that advancement of the bill should be taken without debate, which was in conflict. This amendment simply, which has been handed out to the body