

January 18, 1995

is it...what the Rules Committee is recommending is a clarification on what we do when we go through the order of amendments that are being discussed and debated and we get to an amendment that has been divided. It's somewhat complicated but it did come up a couple of times last session and the session before that. It happened on the crime bill last year. It happened on the welfare bill last year. The committee amendments were very complicated. The committee amendments had numerous parts to them. The committee amendments were divided into numerous parts. We completed discussion of only a couple of those divided points before cloture was imposed. The Chair, at that time, ruled, and I believe it was the Lieutenant Governor, that the motion...that the vote would be on the undivided original amendment. So what we did is we, in effect, voted to adopt the full committee amendment, even though it had been divided out. What the Rules Committee recommendation would do, would be it would...would reverse that precedent. If we had a committee amendment or an amendment that had been divided into five different pieces, what the Rules Committee recommendation would say would be if we are still on that first division, the only thing we would vote on would be that first division and the other four portions of it would carry on with the bill to the next round of debate but they would not be adopted. The precedent that was established last session is the reverse of that. What my rule change would do would be...it was similar to the one I did the other day where there are two different interpretations, this one puts before you the possibility of putting into the rule book the precedent as it was established last session. That would be that the vote that is taken is on the original undivided amendment so that the entire amendment would be voted on and not just the divided portion that was before the body when cloture was invoked. Would respond to any questions that you might have; be interested in hearing your observations.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Witek.

SENATOR WITEK: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, Senator Withem, if you would respond to a question. I just want to make really sure on this one because I do remember what happened and the ruling of the Chair. If...if at the time of cloture we go ahead with Senator Bernard-Stevens' amendment and we vote for cloture, we cease debate, the first vote that will be taken after the cloture vote is taken and a successful cloture vote is taken is a vote on the amendment that we are working on. The