

January 18, 1995

substantive issues. We don't mind talking we don't mind debating, that's what it's all about. We want to do that. Why can't we get to it? Well, hopefully, this will provide an opportunity to get to the substantive debate that all of us would like to participate in. Whatever...Mr. Speaker, how much time do I have left?

SPEAKER WITHEM: You have approximately one minute.

SENATOR BROMM: I would offer that to Senator Beutler if he would like to make any final closing comments.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Bromm, thank you. I really have no significant closing comments, but I did want to remind you if you think about the debate that we've had on this particular amendment, you will see that there has been very little discussion of any technical glitches. It's a good amendment for the purpose for which it is intended. A lot of the discussion, on the one hand, was, well, this is too heavy-handed, this is too heavy-handed. On the other hand, people were arguing, well, this will have no effect, this will have no effect. Well, wherein is the truth of the matter? And the truth of the matter is that it's probably somewhere in between, that it will have a very significant effect, that it won't end things completely, that it gives a very important message to everybody in the body about filibustering and what can and what should and should not be done. So, remember, I think we are at an historic period right now, an historic time when it's possible for us to seize the moment and to put into effect something that fills the gap,...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...to work with it for two years, to see how we like it, to see if it's not an improvement on the system. And I would submit to you that history will not repeat itself with regard to the way the system has been, in my opinion,...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...somewhat abused and that we will have a better procedure altogether. Thank you.