

January 17, 1995

the magic words, "probable cause". So why even state that the Speaker has to have reasons? The Speaker really doesn't have to have any reasons at all and under this rule the Speaker can be pressured by the lobby and told there is too much time being taken on this and we want it to move, and that's a good enough reason. That could be the Speaker's reason, but we'll never know because the Speaker doesn't have to state it. And the Speaker...oh, and Senator Withem, I'm not referring to you specifically when I use the term Speaker, I want that clear. I'm just talking about the things that can happen in terms of pressure that can be brought to bear on whoever is to make a decision like this. It's going to come into play at the most hectic, tumultuous, bitter fractious time of the legislative proceedings. And if it happens to me, I'm going to make allegations that it's a bad motion.

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And whether Senator Witek likes it or not, I'm going to attribute it, if it's the right issue to racism, that there is an objection to the majority in this society to certain people having rights vindicated and the way to make sure that the issue doesn't even have to be discussed is to interpret the rules in such a way that you can silence the voice that is going to discuss it and I will quote or paraphrase what Senator Beutler said during his self-nominating speech. You're going to silence the questioner, you're going to silence the dissenter, you're going to silence the voice that says those things that need to be said but which nobody else will utter. So you have the rule and you stop me on the basis of this. What you're going to make me do is what...

SPEAKER WITHEM: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: .. Potter does. I'll find another exit point and I will tie up everything that you try to do. There was a time during one of the wars...Senator Janssen, I'll make some up, you know you and I after the Civil War...

SPEAKER WITHEM: I'm sorry, Senator Chambers, time. Senator Matzke.

SENATOR MATZKE: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I have to respectfully dissent from what my colleague, Senator Chambers, just said and his characterization of this proposed