

January 17, 1995

say, wow, they really know the rules well. But I think what the real...the reality of the situation is that those individuals aren't as prone to maybe sitting down and talking to individuals. And I, myself, am guilty of that sometimes, and I think that's more personality than color or race or anything else. I think it's more that those individuals who tend to push it to the limit on a lot of these things it's their personality to do so, whereas probably the majority of the body...

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR WITEK: ...are more of conciliatory and trying to come to the center on something. You, yourself, said, in your opening statement on your amendment, that if you support something you'll support it but if you oppose it you'll go to the wall against it. You didn't mention any kind of middle ground in there, and I think maybe that's the problem with people like yourself and many times myself. We are in a body where middle ground is what we're here for. And I think most of the senators are here for middle ground and finding out what can be done, other than having you tell us over and over again what can't be done. So maybe hopefully you'll consider that when you're seeing these rule changes and not necessarily feeling that they're directed at you. So I felt, after three years, that I did have to mention that to you. Thank you.

SPEAKER W. IHEM: Thank you, Senator Witek. Senator Landis. Sorry, Senator Landis, there is an amendment on the desk. There are people watching, every time your light comes up they offer amendments so you can't...

CLERK: Mr. President, Senators Chambers and Beutler would move to amend the proposal. (Chambers-Beutler amendment appears on page 320 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: Senator Beutler, are you going to handle this?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Speaker, I don't want to misrepresent to begin with. Senator Chambers is not a cosigner of the amendment. I don't know whether he would want to be or not, he's welcome to be if he is. But in any event the language, I think, is agreeable to him. And all that it does is make the technical change that he discussed to make more artful the language that indicates that there...that a motion to overrule the Speaker on the particular item that he added to the