

January 17, 1995

has to be to delay the bill, so none of them are any good. And if the Speaker has been harried, and harassed, and hounded by the lobby, the Speaker may yield. There are no supermen. I won't speak for the other gender, not having walked in their pumps or slippers I'm unable to make an authoritative statement on that score, but there are no supermen, but I believe I'm the closest thing you'll find while you walk on this earth in shoe leather. (Laugh.)

SPEAKER WITHEM: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And Senator LaVon Crosby knew what was going to come, she knew it. If you think that the amendment is not good because you may want a person to be able to offer an amendment which has been ruled dilatory, then I can understand you voting against it. And I'm not going to be offended because you may be making a strategic vote at this point looking further down the line when the session is operating. As we proceed I'll tell you some of the things that I see being possible under this proposed rule change, with or without my amendment, which I'm sure those who drafted it did not envision, but which I assure you will be done to show you the "unwisdom" of having adopted such an amendment.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Thank you, Senator Chambers. The question now is the adoption of the Chambers amendment to the...it's listed on the board as the Bromm amendment. All in favor vote aye, opposed vote nay. Have you all voted? Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I will ask for a call of the house.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Call of the house has been requested. All in favor of the house going under call vote aye, opposed vote nay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And I'll accept call-in votes.

SPEAKER WITHEM: Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 24 ayes, 0 nays to go under call.

SPEAKER WITHEM: The house is under call. Members, please stay in your seats. Members off the floor, please return. The house is under call. We are voting on the Chambers amendment. Senator Chambers has authorized call-in votes.