

January 17, 1995

amendment with some relationship, maybe exactly the same language, maybe similar language is offered by another member,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...and this says that is now out of order, correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR LANDIS: That would be done by somebody making an objection, Mr. Speaker, I object, I think Rule 15 says this is out of order, and I ask you to rule that it's out of order.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And the Speaker could do it on his or her own motion.

SENATOR LANDIS: Oh, the Speaker could do it on their own motion. It would be true that this would authorize the Speaker to rule on any amendment after the dilatory amendments on the argument that they were or were not in any form, version or substance covered by one of the dilatory amendments that had been stricken.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, at that stage of debate.

SENATOR LANDIS: Which means that you would have a potential procedural objection from the floor, a rule by the Chair, and then a potential motion to overrule the Chair, if the Chair found that it was not in any form, version or substance, like one of the dilatory motions. That would be available to anybody making that motion, wouldn't they?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The way I would read it,...

SENATOR LANDIS: Um-huh.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...for better or for worse, there could be no appeal taken to overrule by either one.

SENATOR LANDIS: That I'm interested in, too, because I want to know what that means. When I read that first sentence it says to me if there's an amendment after the dilatory amendments anybody can rise and say, wait a second, I think that's out of