

January 17, 1995

Committee change, is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, that's correct.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay. And then when you indicate that no appeal may be taken to overrule this provision, this provision has reference to the new language...to only the new language that you have added, is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and attract the other language in the original offering, where they mention no appeal shall be allowed, or whatever that terminology was. But mine relates only to this language because, as the second offering states, the introducer, who has been ruled to be acting in a dilatory fashion, can try to overrule the Chair with reference to that aspect of it.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, but you're saying that there will be no...by appeal you mean there will be no motion to overrule the Chair allowable with respect to the new language that you're adding, is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, that's exactly correct, no such motion by any person. And I wouldn't mind drafting that, that sentence in that fashion, but I say again it was tracking the earlier language in the first offering.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So the Speaker...so when...I'm trying to envision how this comes up as a practical matter, or how it might come up. Let's say, for example, that there are some amendments offered, they are under the new rule, Section 11, rejected...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Um-huh.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...as being dilatory,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...say three of them. And then let's say at a subsequent point in time one of the three is reoffered, same language.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.