

January 17, 1995

proposal. I mean even though I would argue that there is at least three places it ought to be changed, I mean I could be ruled out of order by the Speaker for dilatory purposes because Senator Beutler may think that the amendments are not in the best interest of the proposed motion, in this case not legislation, but motion. I mean it...I think this rule change goes far beyond what we've been accustomed to in terms of dealing with issues. Three amendments is not a litany of dilatory amendments, and yet that becomes the threshold at which...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time.

SENATOR HALL: ...we start determining whether or not a proposal, or at least you allow for the trigger...

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time, Senator.

SENATOR HALL: ...to be...thank you, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall. Senator Lindsay. Senator Lindsay, your light is next, followed by Senator Coordsen, Senator Withem, Senator Bernard-Stevens, Senator Crosby, Senator Landis, Senator Hall, and Senator Beutler.

SENATOR LINDSAY: Thank you, Madam President and members. I rise and echo some of the concerns that Senator Hall had regarding the rule change and ask that we keep a real close eye on what exactly we are trying to accomplish. One of the things that I think the impact of this type of rule will have is instead of having one person's bill getting trashed, what I would envision is instead of filing dilatory motions to one bill. dilatory motions will be filed to all the bills that are coming up ahead of it and, thereby, sacrificing a lot of people's bills. I think what we have to look at is what we are trying to accomplish, and we are not trying to stop successive motions, and we are not trying to stop motions or amendments from being filed to bills. What we are trying to stop is filibusters, and I think when we get to stopping filibusters, that is something that has got to come from the will of the body, and I think the way you run out a filibuster is the way they do it in Washington is let them talk for weeks, keep them in session for 24 hours. In order to stop a filibuster, Senator Chambers is, with all due respect, getting old. He can't keep up like he used to, and by keeping an individual senator,