

January 17, 1995

reach an accord on which amendments or motions to take up. After the consultation, the Speaker then decides which, if any, of the amendments or motions are dilatory, and which are out of order. Now the prior version that we had in our packet said that the Speaker's decision stood and couldn't be challenged. We have added a motion to overrule the Chair on any decision made pursuant to this section is not in order except that a ruling of the Chair may be challenged by an introducer of the amendments or motions ruled to be dilatory, in which instance the challenge shall be to all amendments or motions included in the Speaker's ruling. The consultation, and the Speaker's ruling subsequent to the consultation provided for in this section, shall in no case result in a bill or resolution being removed from the agenda for more than the current legislative day. Now this proposal, unlike the last one dealing with successive motion to adjourn, which I said the Rules Committee thought was fairly nominal in nature and in effect, this is a significant proposal, and I hope the members of the body will get involved in this, will listen to the discussion and debate, and take note because this would be a substantial change. This does give the Speaker more power, gives the Speaker power to decide what he considers to be dilatory. Now his ruling is subject to challenge. If the introducer of the amendments or proposed amendments feels his decision is wrong, he can challenge that, and a vote of the members would be able to overrule the Chair. I would yield the rest of my opening time to Senator Beutler, who was instrumental in the drafting of this proposal and invite him to add any comments he might have.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bromm, before you do that, we need a substitution of this amendment for the original amendment which was filed.

SENATOR BROMM: I would ask, Madam President, that this be substituted for the original amendment that was filed.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any objection? Hearing none, it is substituted. Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I am supportive of this rule change, and as Senator Bromm indicated, this is the one that will probably or could make substantially more difference than anything else that has been...than anything else that has been discussed with you thus far. And I think that it is worthwhile to go back and to