

January 17, 1995

trying to be a...

SENATOR BROMM: That's just my...right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...a mind reader, and I am not saying that in a disparaging way.

SENATOR BROMM: No.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: We are writing a rule and already we are having to give our opinion, not only by what we think, but speculating about what the Chair will think. Now intervening business, whenever a vote has been taken on any question, that is intervening business. The Legislature has officially acted. It has transacted some business, and once something intervenes, the word "successive" has been defeated. So by doing this, are you not making it possible to do the very thing that you are trying to prevent?

SENATOR BROMM: I don't believe so, Senator Chambers. I think...I think your view of intervening business is perhaps broader than I would think that most of the members of this body might be. I think it would take something more than that motion to overrule the Chair to constitute intervening business. Again, that's my opinion and, certainly, I understand yours. The Speaker's opinion is the one that would count in that regard.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Time. Thank you, Senator Chambers. The Chair recognizes Senator Hall.

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President and members. I would ask Senator Bromm a question, if I might, on this proposed rule change.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR HALL: Senator Bromm, when you change and add the language, successive motions to adjourn or recess are never in order for dilatory purposes, are they in order for other purposes? And who determines this?

SENATOR BROMM: Well, I assume they would be if there has been