

January 17, 1995

the identical question comes up and a second or different ruling. Then you have person C, that...what is open for person C is to go either direction then, and you have no rules whatsoever and you do not have anything in the way of a pattern. Then if you have a fourth person in the Chair, that person is free to go any direction because all such rulings have been all over the court and nobody knows what the ruling is going to be based on prior rulings, and we arrive at a situation where the lobby then rules. Many times germaneness questions come up because somebody in the lobby either wants to try to get something added to a bill, or not even considered. Much of our legislating is done from the lobby, and I will tell you what I am going to do is before we get through, and I don't know if it has to be done by a rule, I am going to make sure that the buzzard's nest is not open...is not reserved just for the lobbyists. I am going to find out before we are through here if that is by rule, and if it is, I am going to change it because that is very repugnant to me. I need to pay more attention to those things and I haven't paid attention and it was brought to my attention by a number of irate people. And I plead guilty to being derelict in my duty in not having that awareness like I should have. But on what Senator...oh, the other point that Senator Withem made, and I disagree with this, had the proposed rule change been offered and accepted in its original form, the entire amendment once ruled germane, even when divided, would not be open for each component to be subject to a germaneness ruling, because to raise that question would be ruled out of order. And since it is out of order, then that decision or that statement by the Chair would not be subject to being overruled. It is not a legitimate issue before us. So if the original form had been adopted, once that germaneness ruling had been made with reference to the entire amendment, there could not be individual questions of germaneness raised on each of the components. I don't think Senator Hall's idea is a good one of leaving it fluid and up to the whim of whoever sits in the Chair. Sometimes a rule such as this, which some will see as good and some will see as bad, needs to be in place so we will have an opportunity to see how it is going to play out in reality. I doubt that there will be many situations where this rule will be applied anyway. Even without having a rule like this which will tighten things, the issue, itself, did not arise before us very often. I am not going to vote yes or no because, frankly, I don't care which way it goes. Anytime the issue of germaneness comes up and I have an opportunity to vote, I am going to either not vote at all, or I am going to vote the way