

January 17, 1995

regard to whether it will accomplish what the intent is. The intent is basically to save time, to not have individual components be delayed. In fact, what the debate brought about was that those individual components or amendments to those that would now be not allowed under this rule change would fall to the bottom and there was some question as to whether or not the rule change was one that the body wanted to make. But there were folks who represented the Rules Committee who brought this in, spoke to that issue and, hopefully, they're here to speak to it again this morning.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Senator Hall. The Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Madam President and members of the Legislature, I won't repeat all of the arguments that I gave last week either, but it seems that a consensus was developing among all those who did speak that this is not a good rule change because it's not going to achieve anything whatsoever. It can lead to additional chaos and will offer an opportunity to make additional motions if a person were going to use this particular rule as a delaying tactic. There is nothing in this rule change proposal which would prevent a person from offering amendments to each specific component of an amendment. The purpose of dividing the question is to break the amendment into these different components and deal with each one separately. Whether you do it by way of a division of the question or amending each portion while it remains a part of the overall amendment will make no difference. So a change which makes no difference ought not to be offered. The only difference that might occur, as I say, is to offer the possibility for additional delaying type motions. So I hope we will vote in opposition to this proposal number 11.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Is there any further discussion? Seeing none, Senator Hall, do you wish to close?

SENATOR HALL: Thank you, Madam President, members, merely just to state that the rule change is found on the handout that you were given last week by Senator Will. It's listed as change number 11, deals with the question of dividing individual amendments and whether or not those individual components can be amendable. Under this rule change, they would not be allowed to be amended. Thank you.